PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 0 2 FEB 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	nt's file reference /O	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. Ir PCT/IB2004/003550 2		International filing date (day/n 29.10.2004	nonthlyear) Priority date (day/monthlyear) 19.11.2003
International Pater B60L3/00	nt Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC	
Applicant TOYOTA JIDO	SHA KABUSHIKI I	KAISHA et al!	
	inder , in moto do diffa ()	ansimited to the applicant acco	
2. This REPO	RT consists of a tota	of 6 sheets, including this co	ver sheet.
This report	is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:	
a. 🗆 sent	to the applicant and	to the International Bureau) a	total of sheets, as follows:
Ц	sheets of the descrip	tion, claims and/or drawings wi	hich have been amended and are the basis of this report y this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	sheets which superso beyond the disclosur Supplemental Box.	ede earlier sheets, but which the in the international applicatio	his Authority considers contain an amendment that goes on as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
			e type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a ter readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental ne Administrative Instructions).
4. This report	contains indications r	elating to the following items:	
		elating to the following items:	
4. This report o ☑ · Box No. □ Box No.	Basis of the op		
⊠ · Box No.	I Basis of the op	inion	novelty inventive step and industrial and the
⊠ · Box No. □ Box No.	l Basis of the op ll Priority III Non-establishn	inion nent of opinion with regard to n	novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
☑ Box No.☐ Box No.☐ Box No.☑ Box No.☑ Box No.	I Basis of the op II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of V Reasoned state applicability; cit	inion nent of opinion with regard to n invention	regard to novelly, inventive etc.
☑ Box No.☐ Box No.☐ Box No.☑ Box No.☑ Box No.	I Basis of the op II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of V Reasoned state applicability; cit VI Certain docume	inion nent of opinion with regard to n invention ement under Article 35(2) with reations and explanations suppo	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial orting such statement
Box No.Box No.Box No.Box No.Box No.Box No.Box No.	I Basis of the op II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of V Reasoned state applicability; cit VI Certain docume	inion nent of opinion with regard to n invention ement under Article 35(2) with n ations and explanations suppo ents cited in the international application	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial orting such statement
Box No.	I Basis of the op II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of V Reasoned state applicability; cit VI Certain docume	inion nent of opinion with regard to n invention ement under Article 35(2) with reations and explanations suppo	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial orting such statement
Box No.	I Basis of the op II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of V Reasoned state applicability; cit VI Certain docume VII Certain defects VIII Certain observa	inion nent of opinion with regard to notice invention ement under Article 35(2) with realisms and explanations supposents cited in the international applications on the international appli	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial orting such statement
Box No. Cate of submission of	I Basis of the op II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of V Reasoned state applicability; cit VI Certain docume VII Certain defects VIII Certain observa	inion nent of opinion with regard to not invention ement under Article 35(2) with reations and explanations supposents cited in the international application ations on the international application. Date of	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial orting such statement ication
Box No. Cate of submission of	I Basis of the op II Priority III Non-establishm IV Lack of unity of V Reasoned state applicability; cit VI Certain docume VII Certain defects VIII Certain observe	inion nent of opinion with regard to not invention ement under Article 35(2) with reations and explanations supposents cited in the international application ations on the international application. Date of the contract o	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial orting such statement ication

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003550

_				
_	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
1	. With rega filed, unle	rd to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ss otherwise indicated under this item.		
		report is based on translations from the original language into the following language , n is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
	∐ int □ pu	ternational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ablication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) sernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2	With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	Description	n, Pages		
	1-21	as originally filed		
	Claims, Nu	mbers		
	1-7	as originally filed		
	Drawings, S	Sheets		
	1/7-7/7	as originally filed		
		ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	☐ The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
	⊔ the	description, pages claims. Nos.		
	☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs		
	☐ the s	sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	☐ This replaced had not bee Supplement	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below that made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the tall Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
	☐ the o	description, pages claims, Nos.		
	☐ the c	drawings, sheets/figs		
	⊔ the s	sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> : table(s) related to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
		m 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003550

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-7

No:

Yes: Claims

Claims

Claims

1-7

No: Claims

No:

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

laims 1-7

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Inventive step (IS)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003550

Re Item V Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The European patent application EP1261562 (D1) is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claims 1 and 7, and discloses an abnormality detection circuit comprising three sensors providing signals representative of measured voltages at a first inverter (28), at a second inverter (29) and at a battery (43).

Moreover, D1 discloses that differences between two pairs of detected voltage values (page 11, lines 6 and 15: Δ Vmg = |VM - VG| and Δ Vgb = |VG - VB|) are calculated and then compared to first and second threshold values (Vth1 and Vth2) in order to determine which one of the detecting means (72, 75 or 76) is abnormal [see sections 0006 on page 2 and 0130-0132 on page 11].

The present invention differs from this prior art abnormality monitoring circuit in that:

two difference values with respect to one reference value (estimated battery voltage value) are calculated and the monitoring is achieved on the basis of the relations of these difference values to a threshold value

Whereas as the prior art disclosed in D1 calculates a first difference value (ΔVmg) and compares it to a first reference value (Vth1) and uses the result of this comparison in combination with the result of the comparison of a second difference value (ΔVgb) with a second threshold value (Vth2) to identify the abnormal voltage sensor.

There is nothing in D1 nor in the other prior art of record which suggests that a modification of the number of sensors in D1 might be feasible.

Thus, it is the objective problem of the invention to reduce the numbers of sensors at no sacrifice to monitoring abnormality of detecting means.

To solve this problem according to the invention there is provided

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/003550

first detecting means for detecting a voltage value of the battery;

second detecting means for detecting a voltage value on an output side of the converter;

battery voltage estimating means for calculating an estimated voltage value of the battery;

calculating means for calculating at least one of the difference value between the voltage value detected by the first detecting means and the estimated voltage value, and the difference value between the voltage value detected by the second detecting means and the estimated voltage value; and

monitoring means for monitoring at least one of an abnormality of the first detecting means and an abnormality of the second detecting means based on each of the difference values and a predetermined threshold value.

The subject-matter of claims 1 and 7 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

In short, two difference values (A = IVBE - VB, B = IVBE - VH) with respect to one reference value (estimated battery voltage value VBE) are calculated and each of the difference values are compared with a predetermined threshold value.

Thus the present invention uses two voltage values (VB, VH) detected by detecting means, and one estimated battery voltage value (VBE) which is calculated, not detected by detecting means.

In contrast thereto, in D1 all voltage values which are used for determining abnormality are detected by associated sensors.

The solution to this problem proposed in claims 1 and 7 of the present application appears inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT because there is no suggestion in D1 and the other prior art documents that one of the detected voltage values in D1 could be replaced by an estimated voltage value.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2004/003550

Claims 2-6 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in EP1281562 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

The features of the claims are not provided with **reference signs** placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).